

From: [Anna Reed](#)
To: [EIS](#)
Cc: [City Council](#)
Subject: EIS Scope - Request for Public Hearings
Date: Sunday, September 26, 2021 10:02:28 PM

[CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Please accept my email to document that I do not agree with the current Environmental Impact Statement (“EIS”) scope [EIS scope](#). It’s focus is too narrowly on traffic concurrency.

This EIS study will be used to drive changes to the comprehensive plan and land use policy, which will have significant impacts on the lives of all Sammamish residents.

Of note:

- The significant lack of transparency in this process and effort is not acceptable
- The EIS scope comments submitted were not considered or addressed
- There has been no public deliberation by planning commission or city council. There have been no public hearings. See [WAC 197-11-535](#)

Again, the scope of this EIS focuses on traffic concurrency and does not address issues of housing imbalances, sustainability, or greenhouse gas emissions.

It also does not explore or examine transit options beyond very costly and, at times, unreasonable road projects.

Please note> ***I request, at a minimum, the city hold two public hearings on the Draft BLUMA EIS (DEIS) at two City Council meetings at least two weeks apart.***


It would be more appropriate to address public concerns by holding study sessions on BLUMA EIS first, followed by the Planning Commission public hearings, and that followed by City Council public hearings to address all comments submitted from the scoping statement and this draft document.

Thank you for your diligent and responsible response to public concern and for serving your constituents through proper processes and transparency.

Thank you,

Anna Reed (She/Her)

Sammamish Resident



Please be aware that email communications with members of the City Council, City Commissioners, or City staff are public records and are subject to disclosure upon request.